

Two-step minimization approach to an L^∞ -constrained variational problem with a generalized potential

Vina APRILIANI*^{a,b}, Masato KIMURA^c, and Hiroshi OHTSUKA^c

a) Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University

b) Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry

c) Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Kanazawa University

(Received April 28, 2024 and accepted in revised form June 4, 2024)

Abstract We study a variational problem on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ under an L^∞ -constraint related to Sobolev-type inequalities for a class of generalized potentials, including L^p -potentials, non-positive potentials, and signed Radon measures. We establish various essential tools for this variational problem, including the decomposition principle, the comparison principle, and the perturbation theorem, which are the basis of the two-step minimization method. As for their applications, we present precise results for minimizers of minimization problems, such as the study of potentials of Dirac's delta measure type and the analysis of trapped modes in potential wells.

Keywords. Sobolev-type inequality, variational problem, trapped mode

1 Introduction

In our previous paper [1], we considered the following variational problem for a positive bounded potential $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{ess inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} V(x) > 0$:

$$m(V) := \min_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), \|u\|_\infty = 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u'(x)|^2 + V(x)|u(x)|^2) dx, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\|u\|_\infty := \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}$. The above variational problem (1.1) is closely related to the problem of finding the best constant for the following Sobolev-type inequality for the L^∞ -norm:

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C \|u\|_V, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\|u\|_V^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u'(x)|^2 + V(x)|u(x)|^2) dx.$$

The best constant of the Sobolev-type inequality (1.2) is given by $m(V)^{-1/2}$. The Sobolev-type inequality has been studied in various settings [3, 4, 5, 6]. We proposed a two-step minimization

*Corresponding author Email: vina.apriliani@ar-raniry.ac.id

approach to the variational problem (1.1) in [1]. This approach enabled us to evaluate the best constant for inhomogeneous positive bounded potentials precisely.

The aim of this paper is to consider the variational problem (1.1) with a more general class of potentials V , including unbounded potentials, non-positive potentials, and the Dirac delta measures, and to extend the two-step minimization approach to them. We provide various essential tools for this variational problem, such as the decomposition principle that provides the basis for the two-step minimization method, the comparison principle, the perturbation theorem, the existence and some properties of the minimizer, the continuity of the minimum value in the first minimization step, etc.

As applications of those tools, we consider two specific potential cases. For a potential that contains the Dirac delta measure, applying our comparison principle, we give an alternative proof for the best constant of the Sobolev-type inequality

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u'(x)|^2 + \alpha|u(x)|^2) dx + \beta|u(0)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

by [5] in Theorem 4.5. The other application is devoted to the case for a potential well. By using the precise property of the minimizer, we will provide sufficient conditions for the trapped mode in terms of the depth and width of the potential well.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 introduces a class of generalized potentials and demonstrates the decomposition principle underlying the two-step minimization approach. In Section 3, we survey the results of [1] for positive bounded potentials, which are necessary for the discussion of this paper. Section 4 describes a comparison principle and a perturbation theorem of $m(V)$ for generalized potentials. As their application, we give an alternative proof for the potential given by a constant plus Dirac delta measure. In Section 5, we study the existence of the minimizer in the first minimization step and also the continuity of the minimum value. We finally provide a sufficient condition for the trapped mode in the potential well.

2 Generalized potential and decomposition principle

In this paper, we deal with Sobolev-type inequalities related to the Schrödinger-type operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V$ with a potential term V . We begin this section by introducing a general class of the potential V . We define

$$X := \{V : H^1(\mathbb{R}) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; V \text{ is a bounded symmetric bilinear map}\}.$$

Then, it is known that X is a Banach space over \mathbb{R} with the norm:

$$\|V\|_X := \sup_{u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u, v \neq 0} \frac{|V(u, v)|}{\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}}.$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ (or more generally, $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R})$ for $p \in [1, \infty]$) always satisfies $u \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$, since an element of the function space $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R})$ has a continuous representation (Theorem 8.8 of [2]). We also remark that $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0$ (Theorem 8.8 and Corollary 8.9 of [2]).

We remark that $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) is continuously embedded in X by identifying $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ with the following $\tilde{V} \in X$:

$$\tilde{V}(u, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} V(x)u(x)v(x) dx \quad (u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})),$$

where $Vuv \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is clear from $uv \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R})$ for any $q \in [1, \infty]$.

We denote the set of signed Radon measure V on \mathbb{R} with finite total variation $|V|_{TV} := |V|(\mathbb{R}) < \infty$ by $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$. For example, the Dirac measure δ_a belongs to $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$. It is defined by $\delta_a(A) = 1$ if $a \in A$, and $\delta_a(A) = 0$ if $a \notin A$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of Borel sets on \mathbb{R} . We also remark that $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $|V|_{TV} = \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ holds for $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Then, $V \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$ is also considered as $V \in X$ by identifying V with the following $\tilde{V} \in X$:

$$\tilde{V}(u, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} uv dV \quad (u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})).$$

It is well-defined since $uv \in C^0(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|\tilde{V}\|_X \leq 2|V|_{TV}$ holds since

$$|\tilde{V}(u, v)| \leq \|u\|_\infty \|v\|_\infty |V|_{TV} \leq 2\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} |V|_{TV},$$

where the last inequality follows from $\|u\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2}\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ (see p.213 of [2]). We note that the best constant of this inequality is $\|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$ (see [1]).

For $V \in X$, we define

$$I(u; V) := \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + V(u, u) \quad (u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})),$$

and define a Rayleigh-type quotient:

$$R(u; V) := \frac{I(u; V)}{\|u\|_\infty^2} \quad (u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}).$$

We also define $m(V) \in [-\infty, \infty)$ and $M(V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} m(V) &:= \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} R(u; V), \\ M(V) &:= \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}; m(V) = R(u; V)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Then, if and only if $m(V) > 0$, the following Sobolev-type inequality holds:

$$\exists C > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|u\|_\infty \leq CI(u; V)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\forall u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})). \quad (2.2)$$

In this case, $C = m(V)^{-1/2}$ gives the best constant of Sobolev-type inequality (2.2).

In this paper, we often consider the class of the generalized potentials $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) + \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}) \subset X$, where

$$L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) + \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}) = \{V = V_0 + V_1 \in X; V_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), V_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

We remark that $L^p(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) + \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$ holds for any $p \in [1, \infty]$. Indeed, it is trivial if $p = \infty$, and if $p \in [1, \infty)$, let $V \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and set $A := \{x \in \mathbb{R}; |V(x)| > 1\}$, $V_0(x) := (1 - \chi_A(x))V(x)$, and $V_1(x) := \chi_A(x)V(x)$, where χ_A is the indicator function of A . Then, $\|V_0\|_\infty \leq 1$ and

$$\|V_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \int_A |V(x)| dx \leq \int_A |V(x)|^p dx \leq \|V\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p < \infty.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$V = V_0 + V_1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) + L^1(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) + \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}),$$

since $V_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $V_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$.

In [1], the authors proved the following decomposition principle of the minimization problem (2.1) for general non-constant bounded positive potentials $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and established the two-step minimization approach to study the precise properties of the minimizer for the Sobolev-type

inequality. We aim to extend the two-step minimization approach to the case of the generalized potential $V \in X$ in this paper.

For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$K_a := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}); u(a) = \|u\|_\infty = 1\},$$

and define

$$F(a; V) := \inf_{u \in K_a} I(u; V).$$

We remark that K_a is a closed convex set in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, which implies that K_a is weakly closed in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 2.1 (decomposition principle). *Let $V \in X$ and set $m(V)$ as (2.1). Then, we have*

$$m(V) = \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} F(a; V). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. We first remark that $F(a; V)$ and $m(V)$ can have their values in $[-\infty, \infty)$. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\{u_{a,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset K_a$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_{a,n}; V) = F(a; V)$. Since

$$m(V) \leq R(u_{a,n}; V) = I(u_{a,n}; V),$$

it follows that $m(V) \leq F(a; V)$. Let us define $\tilde{m}(V) := \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} F(a; V)$. Then, taking the infimum concerning a in $m(V) \leq F(a; V)$, we obtain $m(V) \leq \tilde{m}(V)$.

Let $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a minimizing sequence attaining the infimum of (2.1). Choosing $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ as $|u_n(a_n)| = \|u_n\|_\infty > 0$, we define $v_n := u_n(a_n)^{-1} u_n \in K_{a_n}$. Then we have

$$m(V) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(u_n; V) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(v_n; V),$$

and $\tilde{m}(V) \leq m(V)$ follows from $\tilde{m}(V) \leq F(a_n; V) \leq I(v_n; V)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we obtain $\tilde{m}(V) = m(V)$. \square

3 Bounded positive potentials

We briefly summarize the results obtained in [1] for the case of bounded positive potentials. In this section, we suppose

$$V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 < v_0 := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} V(x), \quad v_1 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} V(x). \quad (3.1)$$

Then, for $u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we define

$$(u, v)_V := \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u'(x)v'(x) + V(x)u(x)v(x)) \, dx, \quad \|u\|_V := (u, u)_V^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We remark that $(u, v)_V$ defines an inner product on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. The corresponding norm $\|u\|_V$ is equivalent to the norm of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and it satisfies $I(u; V) = \|u\|_V^2$.

We consider the first minimization step:

$$F(a; V) = \inf_{u \in K_a} \|u\|_V^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Theorem 3.1 ([1]). *We suppose the condition (3.1) and fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists a unique minimizer $u_a \in K_a$ to (3.2), that is,*

$$u_a = \arg \min_{u \in K_a} \|u\|_V^2, \quad (3.3)$$

$$F(a; V) = \min_{u \in K_a} \|u\|_V^2 = \|u_a\|_V^2,$$

and it satisfies the following properties:

$$u_a \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{a\}) \quad \text{and} \quad u_a''(x) = V(x)u_a(x) \quad (\text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{a\}). \quad (3.4)$$

$$e^{-\sqrt{v_1}|x-a|} \leq u_a(x) \leq e^{-\sqrt{v_0}|x-a|} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\frac{v_0}{\sqrt{v_1}} e^{-\sqrt{v_1}|x-a|} \leq \text{sgn}(a-x)u_a'(x) \leq \frac{v_1}{\sqrt{v_0}} e^{-\sqrt{v_0}|x-a|} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{a\}). \quad (3.6)$$

Theorem 3.2 ([1]). *We assume (3.1) and suppose that V is a non-decreasing function. Then, it holds that $m(V) = \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} F(a; V) = 2\sqrt{v_0}$. Furthermore, if $v_0 < v_1$, then F is a strictly increasing function and $M(V) = \emptyset$. If V is constant, then it holds that $m(V) = 2\sqrt{V}$ and $M(V) = \{cu_a; c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $u_a(x) = e^{-\sqrt{V}|x-a|}$.*

4 Comparison principle and perturbation theorem

From this section onwards, we consider the generalized potentials. We consider the following comparison principle of $m(V)$.

Theorem 4.1 (comparison principle of $m(V)$). *We suppose that $V_1, V_2 \in X$ and that $m(V_1) \neq -\infty$ or $m(V_2) \neq -\infty$. Then we have*

$$\inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{(V_1 - V_2)(u, u)}{\|u\|_\infty^2} \leq m(V_1) - m(V_2) \leq \sup_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{(V_1 - V_2)(u, u)}{\|u\|_\infty^2}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. For V_1 , let $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$ be a minimizing sequence to the infimum $m(V_1)$ and suppose $\|u_n\|_\infty = 1$. Then, it satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n; V_1) = m(V_1)$ and

$$I(u_n; V_1) - m(V_2) \geq I(u_n; V_1) - I(u_n; V_2) = (V_1 - V_2)(u_n, u_n) \geq \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{(V_1 - V_2)(u, u)}{\|u\|_\infty^2}.$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the first inequality of (4.1). By exchanging V_1 and V_2 , we derive the second inequality as

$$m(V_1) - m(V_2) \leq - \left(\inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{(V_2 - V_1)(u, u)}{\|u\|_\infty^2} \right) = \sup_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{(V_1 - V_2)(u, u)}{\|u\|_\infty^2}.$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *Under the condition of Theorem 4.1, if $(V_1 - V_2)(u, u) \geq 0$ for $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $m(V_2) \leq m(V_1)$ holds.*

Also, from Theorem 4.1, we immediately have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *We suppose that $V \in X$ and $m(V) \neq -\infty$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$, then*

$$-|\mu_-|_{TV} \leq m(V + \mu) - m(V) \leq |\mu_+|_{TV}, \quad (4.2)$$

where μ_+ and μ_- are the positive and negative parts of the Radon measure μ . In particular, we have

$$|m(V + \mu) - m(V)| \leq \max(|\mu_-|_{TV}, |\mu_+|_{TV}) \leq |\mu|_{TV}.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.1 with $V_1 = V + \mu$ and $V_2 = V$. Then, we have

$$\inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{\mu(u, u)}{\|u\|_\infty^2} \leq m(V_1) - m(V_2) \leq \sup_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{\mu(u, u)}{\|u\|_\infty^2}.$$

Since $\mu(u, u) = \mu_+(u, u) - \mu_-(u, u)$, paying attention to the following inequalities

$$-|\mu_-|_{TV} \|u\|_\infty^2 \leq -\mu_-(u, u) \leq \mu(u, u) \leq \mu_+(u, u) \leq |\mu_+|_{TV} \|u\|_\infty^2,$$

we obtain (4.2). The last inequality also follows from $|\mu|_{TV} = |\mu_+|_{TV} + |\mu_-|_{TV}$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\text{ess inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} V(x) > 0$. We suppose*

$$m(V) = \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} F(a; V), \quad \text{or} \quad m(V) = \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} F(a; V). \quad (4.3)$$

Let $p \in [1, \infty)$. If $\mu \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) + \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}) \subset X$ is nonnegative, i.e., $\mu(u, u) \geq 0$ for $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, then $m(V + \mu) = m(V)$ and $M(V + \mu) \subset M(V)$ hold.

Proof. Choosing $V_1 = V + \mu$ and $V_2 = V$ in Theorem 4.1, we have

$$m(V + \mu) - m(V) \geq \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{\mu(u, u)}{\|u\|_\infty^2} \geq 0. \quad (4.4)$$

We define $u_a(x)$ as in Theorem 3.1. Then, we have

$$m(V + \mu) \leq I(u_a; V + \mu) = I(u_a; V) + \mu(u_a, u_a) = F(a; V) + \mu(u_a, u_a).$$

From the assumption (4.3), taking the limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$ or $a \rightarrow -\infty$, we obtain

$$m(V + \mu) \leq m(V) + \lim_{a \rightarrow \pm\infty} \mu(u_a, u_a) = m(V), \quad (4.5)$$

where the last equality holds as follows.

Let $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_1$ with $\mu_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$. Since $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$, we assume $p \in (1, \infty)$ without loss of generality and define $q \in (1, \infty)$ as $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. From the estimate (3.5), we have $|u_a(x)| \leq e^{-\sqrt{v_0}|x-a|}$. For $R > 0$, we define $I_R := [-R, R]$ and $J_R := \mathbb{R} \setminus I_R$, and suppose $a \in J_R$. Then, $|u_a(x)| \leq e^{-\sqrt{v_0}(|a|-R)}$ holds for $x \in I_R$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0(u_a, u_a) &= \int_{I_R} \mu_0(x) |u_a(x)|^2 dx + \int_{J_R} \mu_0(x) |u_a(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq e^{-2\sqrt{v_0}(|a|-R)} \|\mu_0\|_{L^1(I_R)} + \|\mu_0\|_{L^p(J_R)} \|u_a^2\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\|u_a^2\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2q\sqrt{v_0}|x-a|} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = (q\sqrt{v_0})^{-\frac{1}{q}},$$

for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $\|\mu_0\|_{L^p(J_R)} \|u_a^2\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \leq \varepsilon$. Then, there exists $\tilde{R} > R$ such that $e^{-2\sqrt{v_0}(|a|-R)} \|\mu_0\|_{L^1(I_R)} \leq \varepsilon$ holds for $|a| > \tilde{R}$. It implies that $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow \infty} \mu_0(u_a, u_a) = 0$.

Similarly, we have

$$\mu_1(u_a, u_a) = \int_{I_R} |u_a|^2 d\mu_1 + \int_{J_R} |u_a|^2 d\mu_1 \leq e^{-2\sqrt{v_0}(|a|-R)} |\mu_1|(I_R) + |\mu_1|(J_R).$$

For an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $|\mu_1|(J_R) \leq \varepsilon$. Then, there exists $\tilde{R} > R$ such that $e^{-2\sqrt{v_0}(|a|-R)}|\mu_1|(I_R) \leq \varepsilon$ holds for $|a| > \tilde{R}$. It implies that $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow \infty} \mu_1(u_a, u_a) = 0$.

Hence, we conclude $m(V + \mu) = m(V)$ from (4.4) and (4.5). Moreover, for $u \in M(V + \mu)$, since we have

$$I(u; V) \leq I(u; V) + \mu(u, u) = I(u; V + \mu) = m(V + \mu) = m(V) \leq I(u; V),$$

$I(u; V) = m(V)$ follows and it implies the inclusion $M(V + \mu) \subset M(V)$. \square

Using Theorem 4.4, we can give an alternative proof for the following result. We define $\delta_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}) \subset X$ by $\delta_0(u, v) := u(0)v(0)$.

Theorem 4.5 (Kametaka et al. [5]). *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $m(\alpha + \beta \delta_0) = 2\sqrt{\alpha} - \beta_-$ holds, where $\beta_- = \max(-\beta, 0)$. In particular, if $2\sqrt{\alpha} + \beta > 0$, then the Sobolev-type inequality*

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u'(x)|^2 + \alpha|u(x)|^2) dx + \beta|u(0)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds and its best constant is given by $C = (2\sqrt{\alpha} - \beta_-)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. For the case of $\beta \geq 0$, $m(\alpha + \beta \delta_0) = m(\alpha) = 2\sqrt{\alpha} = 2\sqrt{\alpha} - \beta_-$ holds from Theorems 3.2 and 4.4, since $V = \alpha$ satisfies the condition (4.3).

If $\beta < 0$, from Theorem 4.1 with $V_1 = \alpha + \beta \delta_0$ and $V_2 = \alpha$, we have

$$m(\alpha + \beta \delta_0) - m(\alpha) \geq \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{\beta|u(0)|^2}{\|u\|_\infty^2} = \beta \left(\sup_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}), u \neq 0} \frac{|u(0)|^2}{\|u\|_\infty^2} \right) = \beta. \quad (4.6)$$

On the other hand, setting $u_0(x) = e^{-\sqrt{\alpha}|x|}$, we also have

$$m(\alpha + \beta \delta_0) \leq I(u_0; \alpha + \beta \delta_0) = I(u_0; \alpha) + \beta|u_0(0)|^2 = m(\alpha) + \beta. \quad (4.7)$$

Hence, from (4.6) and (4.7), we obtain $m(\alpha + \beta \delta_0) = m(\alpha) + \beta = 2\sqrt{\alpha} - \beta_-$. \square

5 Properties of the minimizers

In the following discussion, we will often make the following assumption on the generalized potential $V \in X$:

$$V = V_0 + V_1, \quad V_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{ess inf } V_0 > 0, \quad V_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R}). \quad (5.1)$$

We note that the next identity holds under the assumption (5.1),

$$I(u; V) = I(u; V_0) + V_1(u, u) \quad (u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})). \quad (5.2)$$

Lemma 5.1. *We suppose that $V \in X$ satisfies (5.1).*

1. *There exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that the following inequality holds for $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$:*

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C_1 I(u; V) + C_2 \|u\|_\infty^2. \quad (5.3)$$

2. *$I(\cdot; V)$ is weakly lower semi-continuous in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We set $\alpha := \text{ess inf } V_0 > 0$. For $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, using (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \min(1, \alpha) \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u'(x)|^2 + \alpha |u(x)|^2) dx \\ &\leq I(u; V_0) \\ &= I(u; V) - V_1(u, u) \\ &\leq I(u; V) + |V_1|_{TV} \|u\|_\infty^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have the first assertion (5.3) by setting

$$C_1 := \frac{1}{\min(1, \alpha)}, \quad C_2 := \frac{|V_1|_{TV}}{\min(1, \alpha)}.$$

Let us suppose that $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $u_n \rightarrow u$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\text{ess inf } V_0 > 0$, the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{V_0}$ gives an equivalent topology on $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $I(u; V_0) = (u, u)_{V_0}$ holds. Therefore, it follows that $I(\cdot; V_0)$ is weakly lower semi-continuous in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, i.e., it holds that

$$I(u; V_0) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n; V_0). \quad (5.4)$$

Paying attention to the identity (5.2), it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_1(u_n, u_n) = V_1(u, u), \quad (5.5)$$

to prove the second assertion of the lemma.

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, from $|V_1|_{TV} < \infty$, there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\{|x| \geq R\}} d|V_1| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (5.6)$$

Since $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$, from the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem for the compact embedding $H^1(-R, R) \subset C^0([-R, R])$, there exists a subsequence which uniformly convergent on $[-R, R]$. However, the limit function of the uniform convergence coincides with u . As a result, the whole sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to u on $[-R, R]$. Hence, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|u_n - u\|_{L^\infty(-R, R)} < \varepsilon \quad (n \geq N). \quad (5.7)$$

We set $B := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|u_n\|_\infty < \infty$. It implies $\|u\|_\infty \leq B$. Thus, from (5.6) and (5.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |V_1(u_n, u_n) - V_1(u, u)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u_n|^2 - |u|^2) dV_1 \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\{|x| < R\}} |u_n + u| |u_n - u| d|V_1| + \int_{\{|x| \geq R\}} (|u_n|^2 + |u|^2) d|V_1| \\ &\leq 2B|V_1|_{TV} \|u_n - u\|_{L^\infty(-R, R)} + 2B^2 \int_{\{|x| \geq R\}} d|V_1| \\ &\leq 2B(|V_1|_{TV} + B) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From this estimate, we obtain (5.5) and

$$I(u; V) = I(u; V_0) + V_1(u, u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n; V_0) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_1(u_n, u_n) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_n; V).$$

□

Theorem 5.2. *We suppose (5.1). Then, for each $a \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $u_a \in K_a$ such that*

$$I(u_a; V) = \min_{u \in K_a} I(u; V), \quad (5.8)$$

i.e., $F(a; V)$ is attained as $F(a; V) = I(u_a; V)$.

Proof. For any fixed $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\{u_{a,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K_a$ be a minimizing sequence for $I(\cdot; V)$ in K_a , i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_{a,n}; V) = F(a; V)$. From (5.3), $\{u_{a,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Replacing $\{u_{a,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by a subsequence if necessary, there exists $u_a \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $u_{a,n}$ weakly converges to u_a in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $u_{a,n} \in K_a$ and K_a is weakly closed, $u_a \in K_a$ holds. Hence, we obtain (5.8) as $I(u_a; V) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_{a,n}; V) = F(a; V)$ from the second claim of Lemma 5.1. \square

Theorem 5.3. *We suppose that (5.1) holds with $V_1 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and that $u_a \in K_a$ satisfies (5.8). Then, $u_a(x) > 0$ holds for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and, setting $J := \{x \in \mathbb{R}; u_a(x) < 1\}$, $u_a \in W^{2,1}(J)$ and $u_a''(x) = V(x)u_a(x)$ hold for a.e. $x \in J$.*

Proof. For $u_a \in K_a$ which satisfies (5.8), we define $J := \{x \in \mathbb{R}; |u_a(x)| < 1\}$, which is an open set in \mathbb{R} since u_a is continuous. Then, for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(J)$, there exists $\tau > 0$ such that $u_a + t\varphi \in K_a$ for $t \in (-\tau, \tau)$. Since $I(u_a + t\varphi; V)$ has a local minimum at $t = 0$, we obtain

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} I(u_a + t\varphi; V) \Big|_{t=0} = 2 \int_J (u_a'(x)\varphi'(x) + V(x)u_a(x)\varphi(x)) dx,$$

which implies that $u_a \in W^{2,1}(J)$ and $u_a''(x) = V(x)u_a(x)$ holds for a.e. $x \in J$.

We set $\bar{u}_a(x) := |u_a(x)|$. Then, since $\bar{u}_a \in K_a$ and $I(\bar{u}_a; V) = I(u_a; V) = F(a; V)$, we have that $\bar{u}_a \in W^{2,1}(J)$ and

$$\bar{u}_a''(x) = V(x)\bar{u}_a(x) \quad (\text{a.e. } x \in J). \quad (5.9)$$

Let J_0 be an open component of J . Then,

$$\bar{u}_a(x) = 1 \quad (x \in \bar{J}_0 \setminus J_0 \neq \emptyset), \quad (5.10)$$

from the definition of J .

We assume that $u_a(x_0) = 0$ holds at some $x_0 \in J_0$. Then $\bar{u}_a(x_0) = \bar{u}_a'(x_0) = 0$ holds, since $\bar{u}_a \in C^1(J)$ and $\bar{u}_a(x) \geq 0$. From (5.9), for $x \in J_0 \cap [x_0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_a(x) &= \int_{x_0}^x \bar{u}_a'(s) ds \leq \int_{x_0}^x |\bar{u}_a'(s)| ds, \\ |\bar{u}_a'(x)| &= \left| \int_{x_0}^x \bar{u}_a''(s) ds \right| \leq \int_{x_0}^x |V(s)|\bar{u}_a(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

Setting $v(x) := \bar{u}_a(x) + |\bar{u}_a'(x)|$, we have

$$v(x) \leq \int_{x_0}^x (1 + |V(s)|)v(s) ds \quad (x \in J_0 \cap [x_0, \infty)). \quad (5.11)$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality to (5.11), we obtain that $v(x) \leq 0$ for $x \in J_0 \cap [x_0, \infty)$. Since we can similarly obtain $v(x) \leq 0$ for $x \in J_0 \cap (-\infty, x_0]$ too, $v(x) = 0$ holds for $x \in J_0$. However, this contradicts (5.10). Hence, we conclude that $u_a(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It implies that $u_a(x) = \bar{u}_a(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $J = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; u_a(x) < 1\}$. \square

Lemma 5.4. *We suppose $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) + \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then, it holds that*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I(u(\cdot - h); V) = I(u; V). \quad (5.12)$$

Proof. First, for $x, h \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we remark that

$$|u(x) - u(x-h)| = \left| \int_{x-h}^x u'(y) dy \right| \leq \left(\int_{x-h}^x |u'(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |h|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} |h|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We use the idea in the proof of Proposition 4.2.6 of [7]. For $h \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$f_h(x) := |u(x)| + |u(x-h)| - |u(x) - u(x-h)| \geq 0 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Since u is continuous, $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f_h(x) = 2|u(x)|$ holds for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying Fatou's lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x)| dx &\leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_h(x) dx \\ &= \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u(x)| + |u(x-h)| - |u(x) - u(x-h)|) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x)| dx + \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-|u(x) - u(x-h)|) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x)| dx - \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x) - u(x-h)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x) - u(x-h)| dx \leq 0$ and also

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x) - u(x-h)| dx = 0.$$

We write $V = V_0 + V_1$, where $V_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $V_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{R})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u; V) - I(u(\cdot - h); V) &= V(u, u) - V(u(\cdot - h), u(\cdot - h)) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_0(x) (|u(x)|^2 - |u(x-h)|^2) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|u|^2 - |u(\cdot - h)|^2) dV_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain (5.12) from

$$\begin{aligned} |I(u; V) - I(u(\cdot - h); V)| &\leq 2\|V_0\|_\infty \|u\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x) - u(x-h)| dx + 2\|u\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u - u(\cdot - h)| d|V_1| \\ &\leq 2\|V_0\|_\infty \|u\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x) - u(x-h)| dx + 2\|u\|_\infty \|V_1\|_{TV} \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} |h|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.5. *If $V \in X$ satisfies (5.1), then $F(\cdot; V) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ holds.*

Proof. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and any convergent sequence $a_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, from Theorem 5.2, there exist $u_a \in K_a$ and $u_{a_n} \in K_{a_n}$ such that $F(a; V) = I(u_a; V)$ and $F(a_n; V) = I(u_{a_n}; V)$. We set $\tilde{u}_{a_n} := u_a(\cdot + a - a_n) \in K_{a_n}$. Then, $F(a_n; V) \leq I(\tilde{u}_{a_n}; V)$ holds. Applying Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n; V) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\tilde{u}_{a_n}; V) = I(u_a; V) = F(a; V). \quad (5.13)$$

In particular, we obtain

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I(u_{a_n}; V) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F(a_n; V) < \infty.$$

Then, from Lemma 5.1, it follows that $\{u_{a_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, replacing $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by a subsequence if necessary, there exists $v_a \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that u_{a_n} weakly converges to v_a in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It implies $v_a \in K_a$ and

$$I(v_a; V) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_{a_n}; V).$$

Thus, it holds that

$$F(a; V) \leq I(v_a; V) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(u_{a_n}; V) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n; V) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n; V) \leq F(a; V).$$

Hence, we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n; V) = F(a; V)$ and conclude that $F \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$. \square

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for the trapped mode by a potential well in terms of the width and depth of the potential well.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and $b < c$. We suppose that $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \subset X$ satisfies $V(x) \geq \alpha$ for a.e. $x \in (-\infty, b) \cup (c, \infty)$ and $V(x) = -\beta$ for $x \in (b, c)$. If $\sqrt{\beta}(c - b) \geq \pi$, then there exists $a \in [b, c]$ such that $F(a; V) = m(V)$.*

Remark 5.7. In Theorem 5.6, $c - b$ represents the width of the potential well, and β is the depth of the potential well. The condition $\sqrt{\beta}(c - b) \geq \pi$ gives a sufficient condition for the trapped mode in terms of the width and depth of the potential well.

Proof of Theorem 5.6. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, from Theorems 5.2 and 5.3, there exists $u_a \in K_a$ such that $I(u_a; V) = F(a; V)$ and $u_a(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [b, c]$. If $u_a(x) < 1$ for $x \in [b, c]$, then, from Theorem 5.3, it has to satisfy $u_a''(x) + \beta u_a(x) = 0$ and

$$u_a(x) = C \sin(\sqrt{\beta}x + \theta) \quad (x \in (b, c)), \quad (5.14)$$

where $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ are some constants. But it is impossible for a function of the form (5.14) to satisfy the condition $0 < u_a(x) < 1$ for $x \in [b, c]$ if $c - b \geq \pi/\sqrt{\beta}$. Hence, there exists $\tilde{a} \in [b, c]$ such that $u_a \in K_{\tilde{a}}$ holds. Since $F(\tilde{a}; V) \leq I(u_a; V) = F(a; V)$, we conclude that

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [b, c], \exists \tilde{a} \in [b, c] \text{ s.t. } F(\tilde{a}; V) \leq F(a; V). \quad (5.15)$$

Since $F(\cdot; V) \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ holds from Theorem 5.5, we obtain

$$\inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} F(a; V) = \inf_{a \in [b, c]} F(a; V) = \min_{a \in [b, c]} F(a; V).$$

Therefore, from Theorem 2.1, there exists $a \in [b, c]$ such that $F(a; V) = m(V)$. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for valuable comments that improved the quality of the paper. This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Nos. 20KK0058, 20H01812, and 20K03675.

References

- [1] V. Apriliani, M. Kimura, and H. Ohtsuka: Two-step minimization approach to Sobolev-type inequality with bounded potential in 1D. (arXiv:2311.00708v2)
- [2] H. Brezis: Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag, New York, (2011).
- [3] D. Cassani, C. Tarsi, and J. Zhang: Bounds for best constants in subcritical Sobolev embeddings. *Nonlinear Analysis*, Vol.187 (2019), 438-449.
- [4] A. Cianchi and V. Maz'ya: Sobolev inequalities in arbitrary domains. *Advances in Mathematics*, Vol.293 (2016), 644-696.
- [5] Y. Kametaka, H. Yamagishi, K. Watanabe, A. Nagai, K. Takemura, and M. Arai: The best constant of Sobolev inequality which corresponds to Schrödinger operator with Dirac delta potential. *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online*, Vol.e-2008 (2008), 541-555.
- [6] K. Watanabe, Y. Kametaka, A. Nagai, K. Takemura, and H. Yamagishi: The best constant of Sobolev inequality on a bounded interval. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol.340 (2008), 699-706.
- [7] M. Willem: *Functional Analysis Fundamentals and Applications*, Second Edition. Birkhäuser (2022).