

The λ -invariants of p -adic measures on Z_p and $1+qZ_p$

Yûji KIDA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University

(Received October 31, 1985)

Abstract. We show the λ -invariant of the p -adic measure on $1+qZ_p$ is q times that of the p -adic measure on Z_p if those measures are related by the natural isomorphism between $1+qZ_p$ and Z_p .

§1 Introduction

In a recent paper [3], W. Sinnott studied the μ -invariant of the Γ -transform of a p -adic measure and as an application of the result he gave a new proof for the theorem of Ferrero and Washington. His method also suggests the importance of his rational function. Since the λ -invariant of his function is a multiple of q , one may think that the λ -invariant of the Γ -transform is also a multiple of q .

In this paper, we will show one may not necessarily think so. In fact, we will prove that the λ -invariant is divided by q in the final step of the Γ -transform. Let α be a p -adic measure on Z_p taking values in the integer ring O of some finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Let φ be the isomorphism from the additive group Z_p to the multiplicative group $1+qZ_p$ sending 1 to $\exp(q)$, where $q=4$ if $p=2$, $q=p$ otherwise. Then we can define the new measure β by $\beta(A) = \alpha(\varphi^{-1}(A \cap 1+qZ_p))$ for each compact open subset A of Z_p . With these notation, our result can be stated simply as follows.

THEOREM

$$\lambda(\beta) = q\lambda(\alpha)$$

§2 Proof of THEOREM

The proof will be carried out by elementary calculation. We use the following two

This research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 60540095), Ministry of Education.

elementary lemmas without proof.

LEMMA 1 Let $m = \sum_{i=0}^r m_i p^i$ and $n = \sum_{i=0}^r n_i p^i$, $0 \leq m_i, n_i < p$ be the standard p -adic expansions of nonnegative integers m and n . Then

$$\binom{m}{n} \equiv \binom{m_0}{n_0} \cdots \binom{m_r}{n_r} \pmod{p}$$

where $\binom{m}{n}$ is the binomial coefficient as usual.

LEMMA 2 Let $m = \sum_{i=0}^r m_i p^i$ be as in Lemma 1. Then we have

$$m! (-p)^{-e} \equiv m_0! \cdots m_r! \pmod{p},$$

where p^e is the highest power of p dividing $m!$.

Let α and β be p -adic measures defined above and let $f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i X^i$ and $g(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i X^i$ be the power series associated to α and β , respectively. Let $S(n, i)$ be the rational integer defined by:

$$(1) \quad \psi_n(X) = X(X-1)\cdots(X-n+1) = \sum_{i=1}^n S(n, i) X^i.$$

$(-1)^{n-i} S(n, i)$ is called the Stirling number of the 1st kind ([1], p65).

PROPOSITION 3 We have $b_0 = a_0$ and for each $n > 1$

$$(2) \quad b_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_j \sum_{i=1}^n \{S(n, i)/n!\} (u^i - 1)^j.$$

In particular if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ then $b_n \equiv b_{n+1} \pmod{p}$ otherwise if $n \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{q}$ then $b_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Proof A fundamental relation of measures and power series ([2], p. 97, Theorem 1.1) shows $b_0 = \beta(1 + qZ_p) = \alpha(Z_p) = a_0$ and for $n > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \int_{1+qZ_p} \binom{x}{n} d\beta(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{S(n, i)/n!\} \int_{1+qZ_p} x^i d\beta(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{S(n, i)/n!\} \int_{1+qZ_p} x^i d\beta(x). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of β ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1+qZ_p} x^i d\beta(x) &= \int_{Z_p} \exp(qy)^i d\alpha(y) \\ &= \int_{Z_p} u^{iy} d\alpha(y); \text{ where } u = \exp(q). \end{aligned}$$

Then again a fundamental relation ([2], p. 98, Theorem 1. 2) shows the right hand side equals to $f(u^i-1)$.

Therefore we have :

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{S(n, i)/n!\} f(u^i-1) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \sum_i \{S(n, i)/n!\} (u^i-1)^j. \end{aligned}$$

This is the former half. To prove the latter half, first we study for $n=0$. That is clear because x is congruent to 1 modulo q on $1+qZ_p$. For other n , we must continue the modification.

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \sum_j a_j \sum_i \{S(n, i)/n!\} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} u^{ik} (-1)^{j-k} \\ &= \sum_j a_j \sum_k \binom{j}{k} (-1)^{j-k} \sum_i \{S(n, i)/n!\} u^{ik} \\ &= \sum_j a_j \sum_k \binom{j}{k} (-1)^{j-k} \binom{u^k}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ then by Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{u^k}{n} &\equiv \binom{1}{0} \binom{[u^k/p]}{[n/p]} \pmod{p} \\ \binom{u^k}{n+1} &\equiv \binom{1}{1} \binom{[u^k/p]}{[n/p]} \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[x]$ denotes the biggest integer not greater than x . Thus we have $b_n \equiv b_{n+1} \pmod{p}$.

If $n \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{q}$ then also by Lemma 1, we have for odd p

$$\binom{u^k}{n} \equiv \binom{1}{n_0} \binom{[u^k/p]}{[n/p]} \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and for $p=2$

$$\binom{u^k}{n} \equiv \binom{1}{n_0} \binom{1}{0} \binom{[u^k/p]}{[n/p]} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Therefore $b_n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This completes the proof.

Clearly the μ -invariants of α and β coincide, we may be well to assume they are both 0 by dividing by a suitable power of a prime element π of O . Thus the Theorem is equivalent to

(*) $b_n \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ for all $n < q\lambda(\alpha)$, and $b_{q\lambda(\alpha)} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$.

By the latter half of Proposition 3, it suffices to study only when n is a multiple of q . In fact we prove

(3) If $a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ for all $j < n$ then $b_{qn} \equiv a_n \pmod{\pi}$.

Since we have already proven for $n=0$, we assume in the rest that $n > 1$ to avoid the ambiguity of the binomial coefficients.

Put

$$(4) \quad A_{qn,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{qn} \{S(qn,i)/(qn)!\} (u^i-1)^j.$$

Then the proof of Proposition 3 shows

$$A_{qn,j} = \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} (-1)^{j-k} \binom{u^k}{qn}.$$

Therefore $A_{qn,j}$ is an element of Z_p .

Since $b_{qn} = \sum_j a_j A_{qn,j}$, we can deduce the claim (3) from the following two congruences :

$$(5) \quad A_{qn,n} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

$$(5') \quad A_{qn,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ if } j > n.$$

From the formal series identity :

$$\{\exp(Z)-1\}^j = j! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T(k, j) Z^k/k!$$

we have the equality in Z_p :

$$(u^i-1)^j = j! \sum_k T(k, j) (qi)^k/k!$$

because $T(k, j)$ is an integer. $T(k, j)$ is called the Starling number of the 2nd kind ([1], p. 65, 90). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{qn,j} &= \sum_{i=1}^{qn} \{S(qn,i)/(qn)!\} j! \sum_k T(k, j) (qi)^k/k! \\ &= \{j!q^n/(qn)!\} \sum_{i=1}^{qn} S(qn, i) \sum_k T(k, j) q^{k-n} i^k/k!. \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of this paper, we study only for $p=2$, because for odd p , we can study in a similar but rather easy way. So let $p=2$ and $q=4$. But for the proof for odd p , we still use p and q instead of 2 and 4 and treat the signs carefully.

We modify $A_{qn,j}$ a little more for $p=2$:

$$(6) \quad A_{qn,j} = \{j!p^{3n}/(qn)!\} \sum_i S(qn,i) \sum_k T(k, j) p^{2k-3j} i^k/k!.$$

LEMMA 4 If $j \geq n$, $j!p^{3n}/(qn)!$ is a p -adic integer and in particular, $n!p^{3n}/(qn)!\equiv (-1)^n \pmod{p}$.

Proof It suffices to show for $j = n$. Let p^e and $p^{e'}$ be the highest power of p dividing $n!$ and $(qn)!$, respectively. Then by Lemma 2,

$$n!(-p)^{-e} \equiv n_0! \cdots n_r! \equiv (qn)! (-p)^{-e'} \pmod{p}.$$

Since $e' = e + 3n$, the lemma follows.

Let

$$(7) \quad B_{qn,j} = \sum_{i=1}^{qn} S(qn, j) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T(k, j) p^{2k-3n} i^k / k!,$$

then the congruences (5) and (5') are reduced to the following new congruences :

$$(8) \quad B_{qn,n} \equiv (-1)^n \pmod{p}$$

$$(8') \quad B_{qn,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for } j > n.$$

Let h_i be the rational number defined by the formal series identity :

$$\psi_n(\exp(Z)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i Z^i.$$

By the k -times differentiation with respect to Z of the identity :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{qn} S(qn, i) \exp(Z)^i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i Z^i,$$

we have the equality of rational numbers :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{qn} S(qn, i) i^k = k! h_k.$$

Therefore we have

$$(9) \quad B_{qn,j} = \sum_k T(k, j) p^{2k-3n} h_k.$$

Now from the congruence : $\exp(qZ) \equiv 1 + qZ \pmod{pqZ_p[[Z]]}$, we have

$$\exp(qZ) - k \equiv 1 - k \pmod{qZ_p[[Z]]},$$

$$\{\exp(qZ) - 1 - pm\} / p \equiv -m \pmod{pZ_p[[Z]]},$$

$$\{\exp(qZ) - 1 - qm\} / q \equiv -m + Z \pmod{pZ_p[[Z]]}.$$

Using these congruences, we have

$$p^{-n} q^{-n} \psi_n(\exp(qZ)) \equiv \prod_1 (1 - k) \prod_2 (-m) \prod_3 (-m + Z) \pmod{pZ_p[[Z]]},$$

where \prod_1 is taken over all k such that $0 \leq k < qn$ and $k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, \prod_2 over all m such that $0 \leq m < pn$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and \prod_3 over all m such that $0 \leq m < n$.

Thus we have the congruence of formal series :

$$p^{-n} q^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i (qZ)^i \equiv (-1)^n \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (-m + Z) \pmod{pZ_p[[Z]]}.$$

By comparing the coefficients in both sides, we have

$$p^{2i-3n}h_i \in Z_p \text{ for any } i,$$

$$p^{-n}h_n \equiv (-1)^n \pmod{p},$$

$$p^{2i-3n}h_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for } i > n.$$

Putting these into (9), we get the desired congruences (8) and (8').

References

- [1] D. E. Knuth *The Art of Computer Programming. Vol. 1/Fundamental Algorithms.* Reading, Addison-Wesley, 1973
- [2] S. Lang *Cyclotomic Fields. Graduate Texts in Math. 59.* New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, Springer-Verlag 1978
- [3] W. Sinnott On the μ -invariant of the Γ -transform of a rational function. *Invent. math.* 75 (1984), 273-282