

On the Almost Everywhere Convergence of Lacunary Spherical Partial Sums of Multiple Fourier Series

Michitaka KOJIMA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University

(Received April 24, 1979)

Abstract. Let $S_R(f, x)$ be the spherical partial sum of multiple Fourier series of $f \in L^1(T^n)$. In this note for any Hadamard-lacunary sequence $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ the problem for the almost everywhere convergence of $S_{R_k}(f, x)$ is considered. We shall prove that if $f \in L^p(T^n)$ then $S_{R_k}(f, x)$ converges almost everywhere as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $p=2$ and that it is not valid for $1 \leq p < 2$. This assertion is treated also in the more general situation of Bochner-Riesz means.

1. Introduction.

Let R^n be the $n (\geq 2)$ -dimensional Euclidean space, Z^n be the set of all lattice points in R^n , and T^n be the n -dimensional torus. For any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ in R^n , we denote $(x, y) = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$ and $|x| = \sqrt{(x, x)}$.

For the Fourier series of a function $f \in L^1(T^n)$, we denote its Bochner-Riesz mean of order $\alpha \geq 0$ by

$$S_R^\alpha(f, x) = \sum_{|m| < R} \left(1 - \frac{|m|^2}{R^2}\right)^\alpha \hat{f}_m e^{i(m, x)},$$

where

$$\hat{f}_m = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{T^n} f(x) e^{-i(m, x)} dx \quad (m \in Z^n).$$

Concerning to the problem for the almost everywhere convergence of $S_R^\alpha(f, x)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, the following are known by E.M. Stein and G. Weiss [5], C. Fefferman [3] etc. For each pair (p, α) in the region

$$A = \left\{1 \leq p < 2, \alpha > (n-1) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right\} \cup \{2 \leq p < \infty, \alpha > 0\},$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} S_R^\alpha(f, x) = f(x) \text{ a.e. for any } f \in L^p(T^n).$$

On the other hand for each pair (p, α) in the region

$$B = \left\{1 \leq p < \frac{2n}{n+1}, 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n+1}{2}\right\} \cup \left\{\frac{2n}{n+1} \leq p < 2, \alpha = 0\right\}$$

besides $\{p=1, \alpha=\frac{n-1}{2}\}$, there exists a function $f \in L^p(T^n)$ such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{R \rightarrow \infty} |S_R^\alpha(f, x)| = +\infty \text{ a.e.}$$

I don't know whether or not it is true for any other pair (p, α) .

In this note for any Hadamard-lacunary sequence $\{R_k\}$ in the place of $\{R\}$ we consider on the almost everywhere convergence of $S_{R_k}^\alpha(f, x)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We shall prove the following.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be any given lacunary sequence. Then for each pair (p, α) in the region $\{2 \leq p \leq \infty, \alpha=0\}$ in addition to A, we have*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{R_k}^\alpha(f, x) = f(x) \text{ a.e. for any } f \in L^p(T^n).$$

THEOREM 2. *For each pair (p, α) in the region B, there exist a function $f \in L^p(T^n)$ and a lacunary sequence $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} |S_{R_k}^\alpha(f, x)| = +\infty \text{ a.e.}$$

2. Proof of Theorem 1.

It is enough to consider in the only case of $p=2$ and $\alpha=0$ and so we write simply $S_R^\alpha(f, x)$ as $S_R(f, x)$. Let $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be any given lacunary sequence with $\frac{R_{k+1}}{R_k} \geq q > 1$ ($k=1, 2, \dots$).

At first we treat the case of $q \geq \sqrt{n}$. For $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we denote $|m| = [\sum_{j=1}^n |m_j|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\|m\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |m_j|$. For each $k=1, 2, \dots$, setting

$$A_k = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n; |m| \leq R_k, \|m\| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} R_k\},$$

$\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets since $R_{k+1} \geq q R_k \geq \sqrt{n} R_k$. Now for $f \in L^2(T^n)$ if we write

$$\sigma_{R_k}(f, x) = \sum_{\|m\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} R_k} \hat{f}_m e^{i(m, x)},$$

then it is a square partial sum of the Fourier series of f and so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{R_k}(f, x) = f(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

by the result due to C. Fefferman [4]. Furthermore since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{T^n} |S_{R_k}(f, x) - \sigma_{R_k}(f, x)|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{T^n} \left| \sum_{m \in A_k} \hat{f}_m e^{i(m, x)} \right|^2 dx = (2\pi)^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in A_k} |\hat{f}_m|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (2\pi)^n \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\hat{f}_m|^2 = \|f\|_2^2 < \infty$$

by Parseval's equality, so

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [S_{R_k}(f, x) - \sigma_{R_k}(f, x)] = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Therefore we get

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{R_k}(f, x) = f(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

in the case of $q \geq \sqrt{n}$.

Next we consider our general case of $q > 1$, but our assertion in this case is obtained easily by use of the above case. Let $M = M_q > 1$ be an integer such as $q^M \geq \sqrt{n}$ and for each $\nu = 1, \dots, M$ we put $R_k^{(\nu)} = R_{\nu+(k-1)M}$. Then for each $\nu = 1, \dots, M$, $\{R_k^{(\nu)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a lacunary sequence with $R_{k+1}^{(\nu)} \geq q^M R_k^{(\nu)}$ for all k , and M -sequences $\{R_k^{(\nu)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, M$) are pairwise disjoint and $\{R_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^M \{R_k^{(\nu)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Therefore from the preceding result we have for each $\nu = 1, \dots, M$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{R_k^{(\nu)}}(f, x) = f(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{R_k}(f, x) = f(x) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

This proof is suggested from the paper [2] of A.I. Buadze.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

If the conclusion were not valid, we would have for any $f \in L^p(T^n)$ and for any lacunary sequence $\{R_k\}$

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} |S_{R_k}^\alpha(f, x)| < \infty$$

on some set of positive measure. Hence from the theorem on the limit of sequences of operators by E.M. Stein, the operator $S_{R_k}^\alpha$ from f to $S_{R_k}^\alpha(f)$ is of weak type (p, p) with a norm independent of k . Moreover since this operator is of strong type $(2, 2)$ with a norm independent of k also by Bessel's inequality, therefore we get that it is of strong type (r, r) for any r with $p < r \leq 2$ by the interpolation theorem of J. Marcinkiewicz.

On the other hand from estimates for the operator norm due to K.I. Babenko [1] we know that if $1 \leq r < \frac{2n}{n+1}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < -\frac{n}{r} - \frac{n+1}{2}$

$$\|S_{R_k}^\alpha\|^{L^r} \geq CR^{\left(\frac{n}{r} - \frac{n+1}{2}\right) - \alpha},$$

and if $\frac{2n}{n+1} \leq r < 2$ and $\alpha = 0$

$$\| S_R^\alpha \|^{L^r} \geq C [\log R]^{(n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})}$$

Hence for r enough close to p

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \| S_{R_k}^\alpha \|^{L^r} = +\infty$$

and so we get a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] K.I. BABENKO, On summability and convergence of eigenfunction expansions of a differential operator, Math. USSR Sbornik, 20(1973), 157-211.
- [2] A.I. BUADZE, On the divergence of the spherical partial sums of multiple Fourier series, Soobšč. Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR., 84(1976), 561-563.
- [3] C. FEFFERMAN, The multiplier problem for the ball, Ann. Math., 94(1971), 330-336.
- [4] C. FEFFERMAN, On the convergence of multiple Fourier series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 77(1971), 744-745.
- [5] E.M. STEIN and G. WEISS, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton, 1971.