

On the Schur Multipliers and Central Subgroups

Kunihiko SATO

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University

(Received April 26, 1977)

Abstract The aim of the present paper is to give some inequalities for the order of the Schur multiplier $M(G) = H^2(G, T)$ of a finite group G , where $T = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

1. Introduction

The following notation will be used: e is the identity element of G . If X and Y are subsets of G , $[X, Y]$ denotes a subgroup of G generated by the elements $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$, where $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. The lower central series $\{G_i\}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is defined by $G_0 = G$, $G_1 = G' = [G, G]$, $G_{i+1} = [G_i, G]$. $Z(G)$, or Z , is the center of G . The upper central series $\{Z_i\}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, is defined by $Z_0 = \{e\}$, $Z_1 = Z(G)$, $Z_{i+1}/Z_i = Z(G/Z_i)$. G is nilpotent of class n iff $G_{n-1} \neq G_n = \{e\}$, or equivalently, iff $Z_{n-1} \neq Z_n = G$. Tensor product \otimes is taken over \mathbb{Z} .

In [5] Jones gave the following inequality for a central subgroup A of G , using the free presentation :

$$|A \cap G'| \cdot |M(G)| \leq |M(A)| \cdot |M(G/A)| \cdot |(G/G'A) \otimes A|.$$

As he stated there, the same result can be obtained via the spectral sequence. We shall prove the following theorem as an improvement of the above, using exact sequences by Iwahori-Matsumoto [3] and in Proposition 2 below.

THEOREM 1. *For a central subgroup A of G , we have*

$$|A \cap G'| \cdot |M(G)| \leq |M(A/A \cap G')| \cdot |M(G/A)| \cdot |(G/G'A) \otimes A|.$$

One can see that moreover if $G = G'$ and if G has a non-trivial central subgroup A , then we have $|A| \cdot |M(G)| = |M(G/A)|$, in particular, G/A has non-trivial multiplier.

For a nilpotent group G of class n , Vermani [8] used his exact sequence to have

the following inequality (See also Gaschütz-Neubüser-Yen [2]):

$$(N_1) \quad |G'| \cdot |M(G)| \leq |M(G/G')| \cdot |G'|^{d(G/Z)},$$

where $d(G)$ is the minimum number of generators of G .

Another estimate given by Jones [5] is

$$(N_2) \quad |G'| \cdot |M(G)| \leq |M(G/G')| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |(G/G') \otimes (G_i/G'_i)|.$$

In the present paper we shall further give the following two inequalities of this nature, one obtained from an exact sequence of Vermani and the other from Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *If G is nilpotent of class n , then we have*

$$(N_3) \quad |G'| \cdot |M(G)| \leq |M(G/G')| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} |(G/G'Z_i) \otimes (G_i/G_{i+1})|.$$

THEOREM 3. *In the same assumption as above, we have*

$$(N_4) \quad |G'| \cdot |M(G)| \leq |M(G/Z_{n-1})| \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (|M(G'Z_i/G'Z_{i-1})| \cdot |(G/G'Z_i) \otimes (Z_i/Z_{i-1})|).$$

2. Exact Sequences

Let A be an abelian group, written multiplicatively, taken as a \mathbb{Z} -module through $r \cdot a = a^r$ for $a \in A$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\hat{\wedge}(A)$ denote the second antisymmetric product of A , i.e. the factor group of $A \otimes A$ by $N(A)$, where $N(A)$ is generated by the elements of form $a \otimes a$ and $(a \otimes b) \cdot (b \otimes a)$. We denote by $a \wedge b$ the coset containing $a \otimes b$.

When A is an abelian subgroup of a group G , we shall define a homomorphism $\varphi_{G,A}$ of $M(G)$ to $\text{Hom}(\hat{\wedge}(A), \mathbb{T})$ as follows:

First take a 2-cocycle f of G , and define $\alpha f: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by

$$(\alpha f)(a, b) = f(a, b) - f(b, a) \text{ for } a, b \in A.$$

Then αf is bilinear, since we have easily

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad (\alpha f)(a, bc) &= f(a, bc) - f(bc, a) \\ &= -f(b, c) + f(ab, c) + f(a, b) - f(c, a) - f(b, ca) + f(b, c) \\ &= f(a, b) + f(ba, c) - f(b, ac) - f(c, a) \\ &= f(a, b) + f(a, c) - f(b, a) - f(c, a) \\ &= (\alpha f)(a, b) + (\alpha f)(a, c), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad (\alpha f)(bc, a) &= -(\alpha f)(a, bc) \\
 &= -(\alpha f)(a, b) - (\alpha f)(a, c) \\
 &= (\alpha f)(b, a) + (\alpha f)(c, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b, c \in A$.

Thus αf induces $\overline{\alpha f} \in \text{Hom}(A \otimes A, T)$ such that $(\overline{\alpha f})(a \otimes b) = (\alpha f)(a, b)$. Since $\overline{\alpha f}$ vanishes on $N(A)$, it induces $\overline{\alpha f} \in \text{Hom}(\wedge(A), T)$ such that $(\overline{\alpha f})(a \wedge b) = (\alpha f)(a, b)$.

Finally if f is a coboundary of h , say, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\overline{\alpha f})(a \wedge b) &= (\delta h)(a, b) - (\delta h)(b, a) \\
 &= (h(a) - h(ab) + h(b)) - (h(b) - h(ba) + h(a)) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can define $\varphi_{G,A}(\xi) = \overline{\alpha f}$ where f is a representative cocycle of $\xi \in M(G)$.

PROPOSITION 1. For an abelian group A , $\varphi_{A,A}$ is an isomorphism and we have

$$(2) \quad M(A) \cong \text{Hom}(\wedge(A), T).$$

PROOF. See Yamazaki [7, corollary 2 to Theorem 2.2].

REMARK. Let an abelian group A be decomposed into a direct product $\sum_p A_p$, where A_p is the Sylow p -subgroup of A . It is easy to see $M(A) \cong \wedge(A) \cong \sum_p \wedge(A_p)$. Denote by $\mathbf{Z}(n)$ a cyclic group of order n , and by $\{\mathbf{Z}(n)\}^m$ the direct product of m copies of $\mathbf{Z}(n)$. If $A = \sum_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Z}(p^{e_i})$ with $e_1 \geq e_2 \geq \dots \geq e_r$, then $\wedge(A) \cong \sum_{i=2}^r \{\mathbf{Z}(p^{e_i})\}^{i-1}$, which is a well-known result of Schur [6].

The restriction homomorphism $\text{res}_{G,A} : M(G) \rightarrow M(A)$ for a subgroup A of G induces an exact sequence:

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow M(G)_A^* \rightarrow M(G) \rightarrow M(A),$$

where $M(G)_A^*$ is the kernel of $\text{res}_{G,A}$. If A is abelian, then the relation $\varphi_{G,A} = \varphi_{A,A} \circ \text{res}_{G,A}$ and Proposition 1 imply $\ker(\varphi_{G,A}) = \ker(\text{res}_{G,A})$. Hence we have an exact sequence:

$$(3') \quad 0 \rightarrow M(G)_A^* \rightarrow M(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge(A), T).$$

PROPOSITION 2. If A is central in G , then we have an exact sequence:

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow M(G)_A^* \rightarrow M(G) \rightarrow M(A/A \cap G').$$

PROOF. Instead of (4), we shall prove the following exact sequence:

$$(4') \quad 0 \rightarrow M(G)_A^* \rightarrow M(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\wedge(A/A \cap G'), T).$$

In the computation (1), we only used the facts that f is a cocycle on G and that $ab=ba$, $ac=ca$. Since $a \in A \subset Z$ in the present case, we have $ab=ba$ for any $b \in G$. Therefore the extended map to $A \times G$ is bilinear, hence vanishes on $A \times G'$. Thus αf vanishes on $A \times (A \cap G')$ and on $(A \cap G') \times A$, which induces $(\alpha' f): (A/A \cap G') \times (A/A \cap G') \rightarrow T$ such that $(\alpha' f)(a(A \cap G'), b(A \cap G')) = (\alpha f)(a, b)$. Replace A by $A/A \cap G'$, and we have a map $\phi'_{G,A}$ of $M(G)$ to $\text{Hom}(\wedge(A/A \cap G'), T)$ quite similarly as we obtained $\phi_{G,A}$. The exactness of (4)' is obvious, since $\alpha' f=0$ is equivalent to $\alpha f=0$. Q. E. D.

COROLLARY. We have $\text{res}_{G,A}=0$ if (i) $A \subset Z \cap G'$, i.e. G is a stem extension of A ; or even if (ii) $A/A \cap G'$ is cyclic.

REMARK. The case (ii) is Theorem (3. 1)' of Vermani [9], and the case (i) is generalized to the case of a weak stem extension by Vermani [10, Theorem 4. 2].

3. Proof of Theorems

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. It follows from Proposition 2 that

$$|M(G)| \leq |M(A/A \cap G')| \cdot |M(G)_A^*|.$$

Moreover the following exact sequence of Iwahori-Matsumoto [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(G/A, T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, T) \\ &\rightarrow M(G/A) \rightarrow M(G)_A^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}((G/G'A) \otimes A, T) \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} |M(G)_A^*| &\leq \frac{|(G/G'A) \otimes A| \cdot |M(G/A)| \cdot |G/G'|}{|A| \cdot |(G/A)/(G/A)'|} \\ &= \frac{|(G/G'A) \otimes A| \cdot |M(G/A)|}{|A \cap G'|}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain the result by combining the above two inequalities.

Q. E. D.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Put $G = G/Z_{i-1}$ and $A = Z(G/Z_{i-1}) = Z_i/Z_{i-1}$ in Theorem 1. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_i/Z_{i-1}) \cap (G'Z_{i-1}/Z_{i-1}) &= Z_{i-1} \cdot (Z_i \cap G')/Z_{i-1} \\ &\cong (Z_i \cap G')/(Z_{i-1} \cap G') \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_i/Z_{i-1}) / ((Z_i \cap G'Z_{i-1})/Z_{i-1}) &\cong Z_i / (Z_i \cap G'Z_{i-1}) \\ &\cong G'Z_i / G'Z_{i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\left| \frac{Z_i \cap G'}{Z_{i-1} \cap G'} \right| \cdot |M(G/Z_{i-1})| \leq |M(G/Z_i)| \cdot |M(G'Z_i/G'Z_{i-1})| \cdot |(G/G'Z_i) \otimes (Z_i/Z_{i-1})|.$$

The product of the above inequalities for $i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$ implies the result. Q. E. D.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. The exact sequence of Vermani [8]

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G_{n-1}, T) &\rightarrow M(G/G_{n-1}) \\ &\rightarrow M(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}((G/Z_{n-1}) \otimes G_{n-1}, T) \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$|G_{n-1}| \cdot |M(G)| \leq |M(G/G_{n-1})| \cdot |(G/Z_{n-1}) \otimes G_{n-1}|.$$

If we replace G by G/G_i , then G_{n-1} becomes G_{i-1}/G_i . Though we do not have an appropriate expression X/G_i of $Z_{i-1}(G/G_i)$ by a subgroup X of G , we can use the subgroup $G'Z_{i-1}/G_i$ of $Z_{i-1}(G/G_i)$. Succeeding steps are similar to those of Theorem 3. Q. E. D.

4. Examples

Finally we present a couple of examples to compare the upper bounds of $M(G)$ given by (N_1) , (N_2) , (N_3) and (N_4) .

(i) Let G be generated by a, b and c with defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a^{p^\alpha} &= b^{p^\beta} = c^{p^\gamma} = [a, b] = [a, c] = e, \\ [b, c] &= a^{p^\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where p is a prime and α, β, γ and δ are positive integers such that $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma$ and $\alpha > \delta \geq \alpha - \gamma > 0$.

Then G is of class 2, and (N_1) , (N_2) , (N_3) and (N_4) imply the bounds p^4, p^5, p^4 and p^2 respectively in the case of $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (2, 1, 1, 1)$, and p^4, p^5, p^4, p^5 in the case of $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = (2, 2, 1, 1)$.

(ii) Let G be generated by a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n with defining relations:

$$a_i^{p^i} = e, [a_i, a_j] = a_j^{-p} \text{ for } i < j,$$

where $n \geq 2$ and p is a prime.

Then G is of class n , and, if p is odd, all the four inequalities imply the same bound $p^{\frac{1}{2}(n^3-n^2)}$. If $p=2$, (N_1) and (N_2) imply the bound $2^{\frac{1}{2}(n^3-n^2)}$, while (N_3) and (N_4) imply the bound $2^{\frac{1}{2}(n^3-n^2-2n+4)}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Babakhanian, *Cohomological Methods in Group Theory*, Marcel Dekker 1972.
- [2] W. Gaschütz, J. Neubüser, and T. Yen, Über den Multiplikator von p -Gruppen. *Math. Z.*, **100** (1967), 93-96.
- [3] N. Iwahori, and H. Matsumoto, Several remarks on projective representations of finite groups. *J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo Univ.*, I **10** (1964), 129-146.
- [4] M.R. Jones, Multipliers of p -groups. *Math. Z.*, **127** (1972), 165-166.
- [5] ———, Some inequalities for the multiplier of a finite group. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **39** (1973), 450-456.
- [6] I. Schur, Über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **127** (1904), 20-50.
- [7] K. Yamazaki, On the projective representations and ring extensions of finite groups. *J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo Univ.*, I **10** (1964), 147-195.
- [8] L.R. Vermani, An exact sequence and a theorem of Gaschütz, Neubüser and Yen. *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2) **1** (1969), 95-100.
- [9] ———, On the multiplier of a finite group. *ibid.*, **8** (1974), 765-768.
- [10] ———, The exact sequence of Hochschild-Serre in the cohomology of groups. *ibid.*, **13** (1976), 291-297.