

## A Note on a Definition of (G)-convergence

Chikara WATANABE

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University*  
 (Received 23 October 1969)

In a Lecture note given by G. Stolzenberg<sup>1)</sup>, the definition of (G)-convergence is given as follows :

let  $X$  be a metric space and  $\{S_i\}$  be a sequence of closed subsets of  $X$ , then it is said that the sequence  $\{S_i\}$  converges to a closed subset  $S$  if for any compact set  $K \subset X$ ,  $\{S_i \cap K\}$  is a convergent sequence in  $\text{Comp}(K)$  and  $S = \text{Ulim}_{K \rightarrow \infty} (S_i \cap K)$ .

Moreover it is denoted that if  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then a family of closed subsets of  $X$  is normal in the above sense. In our former papers<sup>2)</sup>, we used the above property for a family of analytic sets in a domain of  $C^1$ . However, recently, M. Kita<sup>3)</sup> pointed out that no convergent sequence of points is normal in the above definition. Therefore we amend the definition of (G)-convergence as follows.

Definition. Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and  $\{S_i\}$  be a sequence of closed subsets of  $X$ . We say that  $\{S_i\}$  converges geometrically to a closed subset  $S$  of  $X$  if

(i) for any point  $p \in S$ , there is a sequence  $\{p_i\}$  of points such that  $p_i \in S_i$  and  $p_i \rightarrow p$ .

(ii) for any compact set  $K$  and positive number  $\epsilon$ , there is a positive integer  $\nu_0 = \nu_0(K, \epsilon)$  such that  $S_\nu \cap K \subset S^{(\epsilon)} \cap K$  for  $\nu \geq \nu_0$ , where  $S^{(\epsilon)} = \bigcup_{q \in S} \{q' \in X; \rho(q, q') < \epsilon\}$ .

Note that from this definition the following properties are obtained immediately.

1. If  $S \cap K = \emptyset$ , then  $S_\nu \cap K = \emptyset$  for sufficiently large  $\nu$ .
2. If a sequence  $\{p_i\}$  of points  $p_i \in S_i$  converges to  $p \in X$ , then  $p \in S$ .
3. If  $\{S_i\}$  converges geometrically to  $S$  and  $T$ , then  $S = T$ .

LEMMA 1. *If  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then a family  $\mathfrak{C}$  of closed subsets is normal.*

- 
- 1) Volumes, limits and extensions of analytic varieties, Lecture note in Math., No. 19, Springer Verlag (1966).
  - 2) On normarity of a family of analytic sets, Sci. Rep. Kanazawa Univ., **12** (1967), 209-213.  
 On a family of pure-dimensional analytic sets, *ibid*, **13** (1968), 73-82.  
 A remark on the theorem of Bishop, Proc. Japan Acad. **45** (1969), 243-246.
  - 3) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Tokyo University.

*Proof.* Let  $\{K_\nu\}$  be a sequence of compact subsets of  $X$  such that  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset K_3 \dots$ , and  $\bigcup_{\nu=1}^{\infty} K_\nu = X$ . Take any sequence  $\{S_i\}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\text{Ccmp}(K_1)$  is compact metric space, there is a subsequence  $\{S_i^{(1)}\}$  of  $\{S_i\}$  such that  $S_i^{(1)} \cap K_1$  converges to  $T_1$  in  $\text{Ccmp}(K_1)$ . Also, since  $\text{Comp}(K_2)$  is compact, there is a subsequence  $\{S_i^{(2)}\}$  of  $\{S_i^{(1)}\}$  such that  $S_i^{(2)} \cap K_2$  converges to  $T_2$  in  $\text{Comp}(K_2)$ . We continue this process. A diagonal sequence  $\{S_i^{(j)}\}$  converges to  $T_j$  in  $\text{Comp}(K_j)$  for any  $j$ . Let  $T = \bigcup T_\nu$ . From the property of the space  $\text{Comp}(K)$ , we have only to prove that  $T$  is closed. Evidently we may assume  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{p_j\}$  be a sequence of points in  $T$  such that  $p_j \rightarrow p \in X$ . Put  $K = \{p, p_j, j=1, 2, \dots\}$ , then for some positive integer  $j_0$ ,  $K \subseteq \overset{\circ}{K}_{j_0}$ . We shall show that  $p_j \in T_{j_0}$  for any  $j$ . Let  $p_j \notin T_{j_0}$ . Since  $p_j \in T_{j'}$  for some  $j'$ , there is a sequence of points  $q_\nu^{(j)} \in S_\nu^{(j)}$  such that  $q_\nu^{(j)} \rightarrow p_j$  ( $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ ), and since  $p \in \overset{\circ}{K}_{j_0}$ , we may assume that  $q_\nu^{(j)} \in K_{j_0}$ .

Let  $d = \rho(p_j, T_{j_0})$ . Since  $S_\nu^{(j)} \cap K_{j_0}$  converges to  $T_{j_0}$ , there is a positive integer  $\nu_0$  such that  $S_\nu^{(j)} \cap K_{j_0} \subset T_{j_0}^{(d/2)} \cap K_{j_0}$  for  $\nu \geq \nu_0$ . Also we may assume that  $\rho(q_\nu^{(j)}, p_j) < \frac{d}{2}$  for  $\nu \geq \nu_0$ . Since  $q_\nu^{(j)} \in S_\nu^{(j)} \cap K_{j_0}$ ,  $q_\nu^{(j)} \in T_{j_0}^{(d/2)}$  for  $\nu \geq \nu_0$ . On the other hand,  $\rho(q_\nu^{(j)}, T_{j_0}) = \min_{t \in T_{j_0}} \rho(q_\nu^{(j)}, t) = \rho(q_\nu^{(j)}, t_0) \geq \rho(p_j, t_0) - \rho(q_\nu^{(j)}, p_j) > d - \frac{d}{2} = \frac{d}{2}$ . This is a contradiction and  $p_j \in T_{j_0}$  for any  $j$ . Since  $T_{j_0}$  is closed  $p \in T_{j_0} \subset T$ . Q.E.D.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\{S_i\}$  be a sequence of purely  $k$ -dimensional analytic sets in a domain  $D$  of  $C^n$ . If  $\{S_i\}$  converges analytically to a purely  $k$ -dimensional analytic set  $S$  in  $D$ , then  $\{S_i\}$  converges geometrically to  $S$ .

*Proof.* This is a direct conclusion of an analytic convergence.

LEMMA 3. Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $\{S_i\}$  be a sequence of closed subsets of  $X$  which converges geometrically to  $S$ . If there are positive constant  $N, M$  such that for any point  $p \in S_i$ ,  $H_d(S_i, rB(p:r)) \geq Nr^d$  where  $H_d$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure and  $B(p:r)$  is a relatively compact open ball of radius  $r$  with center  $p$  in  $X$ , and that  $H_d(S_i) < M$  for all  $i$ , then for any compact set  $K$ ,  $H_d(S, rK) \leq M4^d/N$ . Moreover if  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then  $H_d(S) \leq M4^d/N$ .

The proof of this Lemma is the same as that given in the Lecture note of G. Stolzenberg. From Lemma 3, the following Theorem of Bishop<sup>4)</sup> holds.

THEOREM OF BISHOP. Let  $\{S_i\}$  be a sequence of purely  $k$ -dimensional analytic sets in a domain  $D$  of  $C^n$  which converges geometrically to a non-empty closed set  $S$ . If the volumes of  $S_i$  are uniformly bounded, then  $S$  is also an analytic set in  $D$ .

4) Conditions for the analyticity of certain sets. Mich. Math. J., 11 (1964), 289-304.