

On Normality of a Family of Analytic Sets

Chikara WATANABE

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University

(Received 8 November 1967)

Introduction. In 1957, Lelong [6] defined a current associated with an analytic set in a domain of C^n as follows.

Let D be a domain in C^n , and V a purely k -dimensional analytic set in D . For a $2k$ -form in D , we put $t_V(\varphi) = \int_V \varphi$, where V^* is the set of all ordinary points of V . Then t_V is a closed current which is continuous of order zero in $\mathcal{Q} = D - (V - V^*)$. Also it is shown that there is a norm-preserving extension of t_V to D , which is called a simple extension of t_V .

On the other hand, in 1964 Bishop [2] gave the following

THEOREM. (Bishop) *Let $\{V_i\}$ be a sequence of purely k -dimensional analytic sets in a domain D of G^n which converges to a relatively closed set V in D in the sense of Hausdorff. If the $2k$ -dimensional volumes of V_i are uniformly bounded, then V is also an analytic set in D .*

In the present paper we shall prove the following two theorems

THEOREM 1. *Let F be a family of purely k -dimensional analytic sets in D . If the family of currents associated with F is bounded, then F is normal i. e., every sequence $\{V_i\}$ of analytic sets $V_i \in F$ contains a subsequence which converges to an analytic set in D .*

THEOREM 2. *Suppose a sequence $\{V_i\}$ of purely k -dimensional analytic sets in D converges to a purely k -dimensional analytic set in D . If the union $\cup V_i$ is also a purely k -dimensional analytic set in D , then the sequence of currents associated with the sequence $\{V_i\}$ is bounded.*

1. Let X be a compact metric space. We shall denote by $\text{Comp}(X)$ the set of all closed subsets of X . The Hausdorff metric in $\text{Comp}(X)$ is defined by $D(S_1, S_2) = \max_{t_1 \in S_1} d(t_1, S_2) + \max_{t_2 \in S_2} d(t_2, S_1)$ for $S_1, S_2 \in \text{Comp}(X)$, where $d(t, S) = \min_{s \in S} d(t, s)$ is the distance between t and s . Then it is well-known that the space $\text{Comp}(X)$ is

itself a compact metric space.⁽¹⁾

Definition 1. Let $\{S_i\}$ be a sequence of closed subsets of a metric space X . We say that this sequence converges to a closed set S in X in the sense of Hausdorff, if for every compact subset K of X , $\{S_i \cap K\}$ is a convergent sequence in $\text{Comp}(K)$ and $S = \bigcup_{K \subset X} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (S_i \cap K)$.

Remark. It is easily seen that for any point $P \in \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (S_i \cap K)$, there is a point sequence $\{P_i\}$, of points $P_i \in S_i \cap K, \dots$ such that $P_i \rightarrow P$. Conversely if there exists $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (S_i \cap K)$, then for $P_i \in S_i \cap K$ with $P_i \rightarrow P$, it holds $P \in \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (S_i \cap K)$.

LEMMA 1. *If X is a σ -compact metric space, then for every sequence $\{S_i\}$ of closed subsets of X , there is a subsequence which converges to a closed set S in the sense of Hausdorff.*

Proof. Since X is σ -compact, we can exhaust X by an increasing sequence of compact subsets K_i of X . Let $X = \bigcup K_i, K_i \subset K_{i+1}$. Since $\text{Comp}(K_1)$ is compact, there is a subsequence $S_1^{(1)}, S_2^{(1)}, \dots$ of $\{S_i\}$ such that the sequence $\{S_i^{(1)} \cap K_1\}$ converges. Further, there is a subsequence $S_1^{(2)}, S_2^{(2)}, \dots$ of $\{S_i^{(1)}\}$ such that the sequence $S_i^{(2)} \cap K_2$ converges. We shall show that the diagonal sequence $\{S_i^{(i)}\}$ converges in a sense of Hausdorff. Since there exists $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (S_i^{(i)} \cap K)$, it is sufficient only to show that $S = \bigcup_{K \subset X} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (S_i^{(i)} \cap K)$ is a closed subset of X . Let $P_i \in S$ with $P_i \rightarrow P$ in X , then for some compact set $K^{(j)}$ it holds $P_j \in \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (S_i^{(i)} \cap K^{(j)})$. Then by the remark after Definition 1, there is a sequence of points $Q_k^{(j)} \in S_k^{(k)} \cap K^{(j)}$ with $Q_k^{(j)} \rightarrow P_j$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$). For the positive number $\varepsilon_l = \frac{1}{2^l}$, we take a point $Q_{k_l}^{(j_l)}$ such that $d(Q_{k_l}^{(j_l)}, P) < \varepsilon_l$ and $k_l > k_{l'}$ if $l > l'$. Then evidently $Q_{k_l}^{(j_l)} \rightarrow P$ ($l \rightarrow \infty$) and there is a compact set K containing P such that $Q_{k_l}^{(j_l)} \in S_{k_l}^{(k_l)} \cap K$ for sufficiently large l .

$$\text{Thus } P \in \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (S_{k_l}^{(k_l)} \cap K) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (S_i^{(i)} \cap K). \quad Q \cdot E \cdot D.$$

Let V^* be the set of all ordinary points of a purely k -dimensional analytic set V in the domain D of C^n and let $K(\mathcal{Q})$ be the set of all differential forms of total degree $2k$ on \mathcal{Q} , such that the coefficients are continuous functions with compact supports. We define the norm $\|\varphi\|$ of a form φ as the maximum of absolute values of the coefficients, and for an open set G in D , the norm $\|t_V\|_G$ of a continuous linear form t_V with respect to G is defined by $\|t_V\|_G = \sup_{\varphi \in K(G, \mathcal{Q})} |t_V(\varphi)|$.

Let $\mathcal{Q} = D - (V - V^*)$, where D is a domain in C^n . Then a norm-preserving extension \tilde{t}_V of t_V to D is given by $\tilde{t}_V(\varphi) = \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} t_V[(1 - \alpha_l)\varphi]$ for $\varphi \in K(D)$, where the functions α_l are given as follows.

Let K be a support of φ and $E_K = K \cap (V - V^*)$. For open neighbourhoods $\omega_l, \omega_{l'}$

(1) Generally, if X is a compact metric space, $\text{Comp}(X)$ is a compact metrik space for any metrik on $\text{Comp}(X)$. See Alexandorof Hoph: Topologie, p. 115.

of E_K such that $\omega_l \supseteq \omega_{l'}$, $0 < l \leq 1$, and $\omega_l \rightarrow E_K$ ($l \rightarrow 0$), we define the C^∞ -function α_l satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\alpha_l = 1$ on $\omega_{l'}$
 $\alpha_l = 0$ outside of ω_l and $0 \leq \alpha_l \leq 1$.
- (ii) For $l < l'$, $\alpha_l \leq \alpha_{l'}$ at each point.

The extension \tilde{t}_V of t_V is called the *current associated with V* .⁽²⁾

LEMMA 2. Let D be a domain in C^n and V a purely k -dimensional analytic set of D . For every relatively compact open ball B in D , we have $\text{Vol}_{2k}(V \cap B) \leq \|\tilde{t}_V\|_B$, where $\text{Vol}_{2k} V$ is the $2k$ -dimensional volume of V .

Proof. For simplicity, we assume that the ball B is centered at the origin o of C^n with radius R ; $B = B(o; R)$. For any r, r' with $0 < r < r' < R$, we define a C^∞ -function η_r ($0 \leq \eta_r \leq 1$) by

$$\eta_r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } B(0; r) \\ 0 & \text{outside of } B(0; r'), \end{cases}$$

If we denote by β_k the $2k$ -dimensional volume element of C^n i.e., if $\beta_k = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j \right]^k$,⁽³⁾ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{t}_V\|_B &\geq \tilde{t}_V(\eta_r \beta_k) = \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \int_{V^*} (1 - \alpha_l) \eta_r \beta_k \\ &\geq \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \left(\int_{V^* \cap B(O; r)} (1 - \alpha_l) \beta_k - \lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \int_{V^* \cap B(O; r)} \alpha_l \beta_k \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha_l \rightarrow 0$ on V^* as $l \rightarrow 0$, we have $\lim_{l \rightarrow 0} \int_{V^* \cap B(O; r)} \alpha_l \beta_k = 0$. Thus we obtain the inequality $\|\tilde{t}_V\|_B \geq \text{Vol}_{2k}(V \cap B(0; r))$. Since the right side increases with $r \rightarrow R$, we have $\|\tilde{t}_V\|_B \geq \text{Vol}_{2k}(V \cap B)$. *Q.E.D.*

2. Before we prove our theorem, we shall recall following

Definition 3. A subset Φ of $K(D)$ is said to be bounded in $K(D)$ if the norms of forms in Φ are uniformly bounded and their supports are contained in a compact set of D .

Definition 4. A family B of currents on D is said to be bounded if for every bounded subset Φ of $K(D)$, $\sup_{\substack{t \in B \\ \varphi \in \Phi}} |t(\varphi)| < \infty$. By the above two lemmas and by Bishop's

Theorem, we obtain the following

THEOREM 1. Let F be a family of purely k -dimensional analytic sets in D . If

- (2) \tilde{t}_V is independent of the choice of α_ϵ .
- (3) We denote by φ^k the k -th exterior power of φ , where φ is a differential form. See [4] Chapter I.

the family of currents associated with F is bounded, then F is normal, i. e., for every sequence $\{V_i\}$ in F , there is a subsequence which converges to an analytic set in D .

Remark. The converse of Bishop's Theorem is not true. For example let D be an open ball of radius 3 with center at the origin in C^2 . The sequence $\{A_n\}$ of purely 1-dimensional analytic sets in D given by

$$A_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{ z \in D ; z_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2 \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) + i} \right\}$$

converges to the purely 1-dimensional analytic set $A = \{z \in D ; z_1 = 2\}$ in D . But we have evidently $\text{Vol}_2 A_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROPOSITION 1. For any relatively compact domain G of a domain D in C^n , there is a constant c depending only on the pure dimension k of an analytic set V such that $\|\tilde{t}_V\|_G \leq c \text{Vol}_{2k}(V \cap G)$.

Proof. Since $\|\tilde{t}_V\|_G = \|t_V\|_G$,⁽⁴⁾ it is sufficient only to prove the inequality $\|t_V\|_G \leq c \text{Vol}_{2k}(V \cap G)$. With every point of $G \cap V^*$, we associate a neighbourhood U such that $U \cap V^*$ is contained in a coordinate neighbourhood of the manifold V^* . Also with every point of $G \cap \Omega - V^* = G - V$, we associate the relatively compact neighbourhood in $G - V$. Then we obtain an open covering $\{U_j\}$ of $G \cap \Omega$. By taking the refinement of $\{U_j\}$ if necessary, we may assume that this covering is locally finite. Let $\Sigma \tau_j$ be a partition of unity subordinate to this covering. For $\varphi \in K(\Omega \cap G)$, $\varphi = \Sigma \varphi \tau_j$, which is essentially a finite sum since the support of φ is compact. Thus $\varphi = \sum_1^N \varphi \tau_j$ and

$$t_V(\varphi) = \sum_1^N t_V(\varphi \tau_j) = \sum_1^N \int_{V^*} \varphi \tau_j = \sum_1^N \int_{V^* \cap U_j} \varphi \tau_j.$$

Since $V^* \cap U_j$ is contained in a coordinate neighbourhood of the complex k -dimensional manifold V^* we may assume that $V^* \cap U_j$ is an open set in the space $C^k = \{z_{k+1} = 0, \dots, z_n = 0\}$. Then since φ is written as $\varphi = \varphi_I dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_k$ with $|\varphi_I| \leq 1$, we have $\int_{V^* \cap U_j} \varphi \tau_j = \int_{V^* \cap U_j \cap K} \varphi_I \varphi = \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{-1}}\right)^k \int_{V^* \cap U_j \cap K} \varphi_I \varphi d\omega$, where K is the support of φ and $d\omega = \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\right)^k dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_k$ is the volume element of $V^* \cap U_j$. Thus we obtain

$$\left| \int_{V^* \cap U_j} \varphi \tau_j \right| \leq 2^k \int_{V^* \cap U_j \cap K} |\varphi_I \varphi| d\omega \leq 2^k \int_{V^* \cap U_j \cap K} \varphi_I d\omega.$$

On the other hand, let α be a C^∞ -function ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$) defined by

(4) See [6] §1.

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } K \\ 0 & \text{outside } G', \text{ where } K \subseteq G' \subseteq G. \end{cases}$$

Then $\text{Vol}_{2k}(V \cap G) = \int_{V^* \cap G} d\omega \geq \int_{V^* \cap G} \alpha d\omega$. Since $\alpha d\omega \in K(G \cap \mathcal{Q})$, it holds $\alpha d\omega = \sum_1^{N'} \alpha \gamma_j d\omega$.

As the support of $\alpha d\omega$ contains K , we have $N \leq N'$.

$$\text{Hence } \int_{V^* \cap G} \alpha d\omega = \sum_1^{N'} \int_{V^* \cap G \cap U_j} \alpha \gamma_j d\omega \geq \sum_1^N \int_{V^* \cap G \cap U_j} \alpha \gamma_j d\omega \geq \sum_1^N \int_{V^* \cap G \cap \bar{K}} \gamma_j d\omega \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_1^N \left| \int_{V^* \cap U_j \cap \bar{K}} \gamma_j \varphi \right| \geq \frac{1}{2^k} |t_V(\varphi)|.$$

$$\text{Thus we obtain } \text{Vol}_{2k}(V \cap G) \geq \frac{1}{2^k} |t_V(\varphi)|$$

These inequalities hold for any $\varphi \in K(G \cap \mathcal{Q})$, and hence

$$2^k \text{Vol}_{2k}(V \cap G) \geq \|t_V\|_G. \quad Q \cdot E \cdot D.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let a sequence $\{V_i\}$ of purely k -dimensional analytic sets in D converges to a purely k -dimensional analytic set in D . If the union $\cup V_i$ is also a purely k -dimensional analytic set in D , then the set of currents associated with the family $\{V_i\}$ is bounded.*

Proof. By the definition of the boundedness of the set of currents, it is sufficient only to prove that $\{\|t_{V_i}\|_G\}$ is bounded for any relatively compact domain G in D . Since $\text{Vol}_{2k}(\cup V_j \cap G) < \infty$, $\text{Vol}_{2k}(V_j \cap G)$ is bounded. Then by Proposition 1, $\{\|\tilde{t}_{V_i}\|_G\}$ is bounded. *Q \cdot E \cdot D.*

Remark. Let F be a family of purely k -dimensional analytic sets. We assume that whenever a sequence $\{V_i\}$ in F converges to a purely k -dimensional analytic set, the union $\cup V_i$ is also purely k -dimensional analytic set. Then the family of currents associated with F is bounded.

Proof. If not true, there should be a sequence $\{V_k\}$ in F such that $\|\tilde{t}_{V_k}\|_G \geq k$. But by Lemma 1, $\{V_k\}$ contains a convergent subsequence $\{V_{k_l}\}$, and hence from Theorem 2, the set of current $\{\tilde{t}_{V_{k_l}}\}$ is bounded. This is a contradiction.

Reference

- [1] Yasuo Akizuki: Theory of harmonic integral, (Japanese) I, II. Iwanami, Japan, 1958.
- [2] Bishop: Condition for the analyticity of certain sets. Mich. Math. Jour., 11 (1964), 289-304.
- [3] De Rham: Variété différentiables. Herman Paris, 1955.
- [4] Gabriel Stolzenberg: Volume, limits, and extension of analytic varieties. Lecture note in Math., no. 19, 1966. Springer Verlag.
- [5] Lelong: Integration of a differential form on an analytic subvariety. Proc. Nat. Acad., 43 (1957), 46-49.
- [6] Lelong: Intégration sur un ensemble analytique complexe. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 85 (1957).