

A Remark on the Riemann-Sum

By

Shigeru TAKAHASHI^{*)}

(Received February 6, 1959)

1. Introduction

In the present note let $f(t)$, $-\infty < t < +\infty$, be Lebesgue integrable on the interval $(0,1)$ and periodic with period 1. Then we put, for every positive integer k ,

$$F_k(t, f) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=1}^k f\left(t + \frac{v}{k}\right)$$

which is known as the Riemann-sum of the function $f(t)$.

In [2] B. Jessen has proved that if $n_k | n_{k+1}$, then we have, for almost all t ,

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_k}(t, f) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt.$$

Since then various sufficient conditions of (1.1) for an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ without assuming any arithmetical property have been given, but in the case where $f(t) \in L_p(0,1)$ the essential point is to deduce from them the convergence of the series $\sum_k \int_0^1 |F_{n_k}(t, f) - \int_0^1 f(t) dt|^p dt$ (c. f. for example [5]). Therefore under these conditions we have, for almost all t ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_k}(t + h_k, f) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt,$$

where $\{h_k\}$ is any sequence of real numbers. (c. f. [1]).

On the other hand it is not known whether or not there exists a function of the class $L_2(0,1)$ such that its Riemann-sum does not converge to the integral almost everywhere.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following

Theorem. Let $\{n_k\}$ be any increasing sequence of prime numbers. Then there exist a sequence of real numbers $\{h_k\}$ and a function $f(t)$ of the class $L_p(0,1)$ for any $p \geq 1$ such that the translated Riemann-sum $F_{n_k}(t + h_k, f)$ diverges almost everywhere in t as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ ^{**)}.

2. Proof of the theorem

In this paragraph we prove the theorem. Let us put, for $k=1, 2, \dots$,

^{*)} Mathematical Institute, Faculty of Science, Kanazawa University

^{**)} In the same way we can prove this theorem for the case where $\{n_k\}$ is any increasing sequence of positive integers. (c. f. [4]).

$$(2.1) \quad a_k = (2k^{-1} \log^{-2}(k+1))^{1/2},$$

and

$$(2.1') \quad N_k = \prod_{m=1}^k n_m.$$

Then by the above definitions, the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \cos 2\pi N_m t$ converges to a function $f(t)$ for almost all t which belongs to the class $L_p(0, 1)$ for any $p \geq 1$ (c. f. [6]). On the other hand since n_k is a prime number, we have

$$(2.2) \quad F_{n_k}(t, f) = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} a_m \cos 2\pi N_m t.$$

Further let us consider the sequence $\{F_{n_k}(t_0 + x, f)\}$ for any fixed $t_0, 0 \leq t_0 \leq 1$, and expand all real numbers $x, 0 \leq x \leq 1$, as follows;

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k(x) N_k^{-1} \quad (\varphi_k(x) = 0, 1, \dots, n_k - 1),$$

and put

$$(2.3) \quad \theta_m(x) = \varphi_{m+1}(x) n_{m+1}^{-1},$$

$$(2.3') \quad H_k(t_0, x) = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} a_m [\cos 2\pi\{\theta_m(x) + N_m t_0\} - \int_0^1 \cos 2\pi\{\theta_m(x) + N_m t_0\} dx].$$

Then by (2.1), (2.3) and the relation

$$|\cos 2\pi N_m(t_0 + x) - \cos 2\pi\{\theta_m(x) + N_m t_0\}| \leq 2\pi n_{m+1}^{-1} \leq 2\pi(m+1)^{-1},$$

we have,

$$(2.4) \quad |H_k(t_0, x) - F_{n_k}(t_0 + x, f)| \leq A k^{-1/2}$$

and, for $k > 1$,

$$(2.4') \quad \left| \int_0^1 H_k^2(t_0, x) dx - \log^{-1} k \right| \leq A k^{-1/2},$$

where A is a constant independent of t_0 . Since $\{\varphi_k(x)\}$ is a sequence of independent functions, by (2.3) $[a_m \cos 2\pi\{\theta_m(x) + N_m t_0\}]$ is also a sequence of independent functions. Applying the lemma of A. N. Kolmogoroff [3] to $H_k(t_0, x)$, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_k | \{0 \leq x \leq 1, H_k(t_0, x) > 1\} | = +\infty.$$

By (2.4) and (2.5), we have for any ε ($0 < \varepsilon < 1$)

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_k | \{0 \leq x \leq 1, F_{n_k}(t_0 + x, f) > 1 - \varepsilon\} | = +\infty.$$

Next let $\{t_k(\omega)\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables on a probability space (Ω, B, P) and for each k

$$(2.7) \quad P\{t_k(\omega) < x\} = x, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

By (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\sum_k P\{F_{n_k}(t_0 + t_k(\omega)) > 1 - \varepsilon\} = +\infty,$$

and this implies, by the Borel-Cantelli's lemma,

$$(2.8) \quad P\{\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_k}(t_0 + t_k(\omega)) > 1 - \varepsilon\} = 1.$$

Since t_0 in (2. 8) is arbitrary, there exists a point ω_0 such that

$$(2. 9) \quad |(0 \leq t \leq 1, \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_k}(t + t_k(\omega_0)) > 1 - \varepsilon)| = 1.$$

If we put $h_k = t_k(\omega_0)$, then by (2. 2) (2. 9) and the fact that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 F_{n_k}^2(t + h_k, f) dt = 0$, we can prove the theorem.

We can not see that there exist a bounded function $f(t)$ and a sequence of real numbers $\{h_k\}$ such that $F_k(t + h_k, f)$ does not converge to $\int_0^1 f(t) dt$ almost everywhere in t as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

References

- [1] S. Izumi, Notes on Fourier analysis (XL), Jour. Math., 1(1953) pp. 80-86.
- [2] B. Jessen, On the approximation of the Lebesgue integrals by Riemann-sums, Ann. Math., 35(1934) pp. 248-251.
- [3] A. N. Kolmogoroff, Über das Gesetz des iterierten Logarithmus, Math. Ann., 101(1929) pp. 126-135. especially Hilfssatz II.
- [4] S. Takahashi, On the complete convergence of the Riemann-sum, under the press.
- [5] S. Yano, A remark on the Riemann-sums, Tohoku Math. Jour., 2(1950) pp. 1-3.
- [6] A. Zygmund, Trigonometrical series, Warsaw (1935) especially p.216.