

## On the Burg's Numbers

By

Yoshikazu EDA

(Received 5. February, 1955)

1. R. Burg found the numbers  $N$  to base 10 such that the numbers obtained by reversing its digits are multiple  $yN$  of  $N$ , in particular for  $y=9, 4$ . [1], [2].

We shall research these numbers  $N$  and  $y$  to base  $g$ .

Throughout this note all small Latin letters denote non negative integers.

2.  $N$  may be expressed uniquely in the form

$$N = x_1 g^{n-1} + \dots + x_n,$$

where every  $x$  is one of  $0, 1, 2, \dots, g-1$  and  $x_1$  is not 0.

We abbreviate this expression to

$$N = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}, (g),$$

the representation of  $N$  in decimal in the scale of  $g$ .

We have to consider the  $n+1$  equations

$$(1) \quad s_{k+1} + x_k y = x_{n-k} + s_k g, \quad (0 \leq k \leq n),$$

where

$$g \geq 3, \quad 2 \leq y \leq g-1, \quad 0 \leq x_k \leq g-1, \quad (0 \leq k \leq n), \quad x_0 \neq 0, \quad 0 \leq s_k \leq y-1, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n$$

and

$$s_0 = s_{n+1} = 0$$

Furthermore, we assume that  $s_1 = 0$ .

3. As we have ( $k=0$  and  $n$  in (1)),  $x_0 y = x_n$  and  $x_n y = x_0 + s_n y$ , we get  $x_0 (y^2 - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{g}$ . Since  $(x_0, g) = d$  is the highest common divisor of  $x_0$  and  $g$ , we can put  $x_0 = x^*_0 d$ ,  $g = g^* d$ , where,  $(x^*_0 g^*) = 1$ .

Thus we have  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{g^*}$ . The solutions of this congruence are given by  $y = i g^* \pm 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ). Since  $x_n = x_0 y = x^*_0 (g^* - 1)$ ,  $d \leq g-1$ , we have  $x^*_0 \leq (g-1)/(g^*-1)d = (g^*d-1)/(g^*-1)d = 1 + (d-1)/(g^*-1)d < 2$ . Thus we get  $x^*_0 = 1$ ,  $d = x_0$  and

$$(2) \quad g = x_0 (y+1).$$

We have by (1), that

$$\begin{cases} x_k y = s_{k+1} = x_{n-k} + s_k g \\ x_{n-k} y + s_{n-k+1} = x_k + s_{n-k} g. \end{cases}$$

From these equations and  $y+1 \mid g$ , ( $g$  is divisible by  $y+1$ ), we have

$$x_k = x_{n-k} + x_0 (s_k - s_{n-k}) + \frac{1}{y+1} (s_{n-k+1} - s_{k+1})$$

Since  $x_k$  and  $x_{n-k} + x_0 (s_k - s_{n-k})$  are integers,  $(s_{n-k+1} - s_{k+1})/(y-1)$  is an integer.

Since  $0 \leq s_{n-k+1}/(y+1) < 1$ , we have  $-1 < (s_{n-k+1} - s_{k+1})/(y+1) \leq 0$ , hence  $(s_{n-k+1} - s_{k+1})/(y+1) = 0$ . Thus, it follows that

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} x_k = x_{n-k} + x_0 (s_k - s_{n-k}) \\ s_k = s_{n-k+2} \end{cases}$$

From (1) and (3), we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} x_k = x_0 y \omega_k + x_0 \omega_{n-k} - \omega_{n-k+1} \\ \omega_k = \omega_{n-k+2} \end{cases}$$

where  $\omega_k = s_k / (y-1)$  and  $0 \leq \omega_i \leq 1, 2 \leq i \leq n$ . From (1) ( $k=n$ ) and (2), we have  $s_n y = x_n y - x_0 = x_0 (y^2 - 1) = g(y-1)$  and we get  $\omega_n = \omega_2 = y-1$  (integer). We prove by induction that all of  $\omega$  are integers.

We assume that  $\omega_k = \omega_{n-k+2}, 2 \leq k \leq j+2$  are integers. First we prove by induction that  $x_0^p \omega_{n-j-p} = x_0^p \omega_{j+p+2} (0 \leq p)$  are integers.

From (4) ( $k=j+p$ ), we have  $x_0^p x_{j+p} - x_0^p y \omega_{j+p} + x_0^p \omega_{n-j-p} - x_0^{p-1} \omega_{n-j-(p-1)}$ .

From this equation and our induction hypothesis, we get our desired result. Next, we assume  $n=2m$ , then from (4),  $x_0^{m-j-2} x_m = x_0^{m-j-1} y \omega_m + x_0^{m-j-1} \omega_m - x_0^{m-j-2} \omega_{m+1}$ . Since  $x_0^{m-j-1} \omega_m$  is an integer,  $x_0^{m-j-2} \omega_m$  is also an integer. Thus we have also by induction that  $\omega_{n-j-1}$  is an integer.

Similarly we can prove our result for  $n=2m+1$ .

We have from (4) and the above

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} x_k = x_0 y \omega_k + x_0 \omega_{k+2} - \omega_{k+1}, \\ \omega_k = \omega_{n-k+2} = 1 \text{ or } 0, (0 \leq k \leq n). \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad g = x_0 (y+1)$$

Thus we obtain the Table I, and we know that all  $x_k$  can take only 6 values.  $x_0$  and  $y$  are decided by (2).

$\omega_k$	$\omega_{k+2}$	$\omega_{k+1}$	$x_k$
1	1	1	$g-1$
1	0	1	$x_0 y - 1$
0	1	1	$x_0 - 1$
1	0	0	$x_0 y$
0	1	0	$x_0$
0	0	0	0

Table I.

If we take one of these 6 values for  $x_k$ , then  $x_{k-1}$  and  $x_{k+1}$  are given by the Table II.

$x_{k-1}$	$x_k$	$x_{k+1}$
0, $y x_0$	0	0, $x_0$
0, $y x_0$	$x_0$	$x_0 - 1$
$x_0$	$x_0 - 1$	$g - 1, y x_0 - 1$
$x_0 - 1, g - 1$	$x_0 y - 1$	$y x_0$
$y x_0 - 1,$	$x_0 y$	0, $x_0$
$g - 1$	$g - 1$	$y x_0 - 1, g - 1$

Table II.

Conclusion. If  $s_1 = 0$  we have

$$N = \{x_0, x_0 - 1, \underbrace{g - 1, \dots, g - 1}_t, x_0 y - 1, x_0 y\} \cdot y (g), (t \geq 0) \text{ and their trivial}$$

connections.

We call these numbers "Burg's Numbers" to base  $g$ .

4. If  $s_1 = s \neq 0$ , we have

$$g = x_0 (y + e),$$

where

$$e^2 - se - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{y + e}.$$

Thus we have

$$\left(\frac{4+e^2}{p}\right) = 1, \quad p \mid g,$$

where  $\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$  denotes the Legendre's symbol.

We shall reserve it for another occasion to treat this case, and only two examples are shown as follows :

- 1)  $(1, 2m, \dots, 2m, 2m-1) \cdot m, (2m+1)$
- 2)  $(1, 7, \dots, 7, 6) \cdot 5 ; (1, 8, 12, \dots, 12, 13, 6) \cdot 5 ; (1, 9, 19, 6) \cdot 5 (29)$  etc.,

5. Remark 1. If we write the number of "Burg's Number" ( $n$  digits) with  $\zeta_n$ , then we can put  $\zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = 0$  and  $\zeta_1 = 1$ . If we define  $\zeta_0 = 1$  and put  $\zeta_{2n} = \zeta_{2n+1} = a_n$ , then we have the recurring formula of Fibonacci numbers ([1] and [2], Chap. XIX) :

$$a_n = a_{n-1} + a_{n-2},$$

Remark 2. For  $g=10$ , we have only two factorizations of 10 as follows :

$$10 = 1 \cdot 10 = 2 \cdot 5.$$

In this case, it is easy to see that  $s=0$ . For, we have the following :

	$x_0 = 1, g^* = 10$				$x_0 = 2, g^* = 5$			
$e$	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
$s$	0,5	4	1,6	0,5	0	4	1	0
$y$	9, -	8	7, -	6, -	4	3	2	1
$x_n \leq 9$	9, -	-	7, -	6, -	8	-	5	-
$x_n y \equiv x_0 (10)$	1, -	-	-,-	-,-	2	-	-	-

Table III.

Thus we have the Burg's Numbers to base 10 as follows [1] .

$$(1, 0, 9, \dots, 9, 8, 9) \cdot 9, (10) \text{ and } (2, 1, 9, \dots, 9, 7, 8) \cdot 4, (10).$$

References

[1], R. Burg : Welche Zahlen gehen in umkehrter Ziffernfolge ein Vielfaches ihres Wertes ?, Sitz. Ber. Math. Gesell. 1916.  
 [2], L. E. Dickson : History of the theory of Numbers, Vol. 1, Chelsea, 1952.